

Combinatorial Sets of Reals, III

Independence: Spectrum and Genericity

Vera Fischer

University of Vienna

Jan 28–Feb 4, 2023

Winter School in Abstract Analysis

Section **Set Theory & Topology**

Definition: Spectrum of Independence

$$\mathfrak{sp}(i) = \{|\mathcal{A}| : \mathcal{A} \text{ is a max. ind. family}\}$$

Theorem (F., Shelah)

Assume *CH*. Let λ be a regular uncountable cardinal. Then

$$V^{\mathfrak{S}_\lambda} \models \mathfrak{sp}(i) = \{\aleph_1, \lambda\}.$$

No intermediate cardinalities

Lemma

In the above extension there are no m.i.f. of size κ , for $\aleph_1 < \kappa < \lambda$.

\mathcal{A} -diagonalization filters

Let \mathcal{A} be an independent family. A filter \mathcal{U} is said to be an \mathcal{A} -diagonalization filter if

$$\forall F \in \mathcal{U} \forall h \in \text{FF}(\mathcal{A}) (|F \cap \mathcal{A}^h| = \omega)$$

and is maximal with respect to the above property.

Lemma

Suppose \mathcal{U} is a \mathcal{A} -diagonalization filter, G is $\mathbb{M}(\mathcal{U})$ -generic and

$$x_G = \bigcup \{s : \exists F(s, F) \in G\}.$$

Then:

- 1 $\mathcal{A} \cup \{x_G\}$ is independent
- 2 If $y \in ([\omega]^\omega \setminus \mathcal{A}) \cap V$ is such that

$$\mathcal{A} \cup \{y\}$$

is independent, then $\mathcal{A} \cup \{x_G, y\}$ is not independent.

Proof (1):

For $h \in \text{FF}(\mathcal{A})$ and $n \in \omega$, the sets

- $D_{h,n} := \{(s, F) \in \mathbb{M}(\mathcal{U}) : |s \cap \mathcal{A}^h| > n\}$, and

- $E_{h,n} := \{(s, F) : |(\min F \setminus \max s) \cap \mathcal{A}^h| > n\}$

are dense, and so $\mathcal{A}^h \cap x_G$, and $\mathcal{A}^h \setminus x_G$ are infinite.

Proof (2):

Fix y such that $\mathcal{A} \cup \{y\}$ is independent.

- 1 If $y \in \mathcal{U}$, then $x_G \subseteq^* y$ and so $x_G \setminus y$ is finite.
- 2 If $y \notin \mathcal{U}$, then
 - either there is $F \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $F \cap y$ is finite, and so $x_G \cap y$ is finite,
 - or there are $F \in \mathcal{U}$, $h \in \text{FF}(\mathcal{A})$ s.t. $F \cap y \cap \mathcal{A}^h = \emptyset$, in which case $x_G \cap y \cap \mathcal{A}^h$ is finite.
- 3 Thus in either case $\mathcal{A} \cup \{x_G, y\}$ is not independent.



Corollary

Let κ be a regular uncountable cardinal. Then consistently

$$\aleph_1 < \mathfrak{i} = \kappa < \mathfrak{c}.$$

Proof:

Let $\lambda > \kappa$ be the desired size of the continuum. The ordinal product $\gamma^* = \lambda \cdot \kappa$ contains an unbounded subset \mathcal{I} of cardinality κ . Consider a finite support iteration of length γ^* such that along \mathcal{I} we

- recursively generate a max. independent family of cardinality κ ,
- as well as a scale of length κ ,

and along $\gamma^* \setminus \mathcal{I}$, we add Cohen reals. Then in the final generic extension

$$\aleph_1 < \mathfrak{d} = \kappa \leq \mathfrak{i} \leq \kappa < \mathfrak{c} = \lambda.$$



Theorem (F., Shelah, 2019)

Assume *GCH*. Let $\kappa_1 < \dots < \kappa_n$ be regular uncountable cardinals. There is a ccc generic extension in which $\{\kappa_i\}_{i=1}^n \subseteq \mathfrak{sp}(i)$.

Proof:

Consider a finite support iteration of length γ^* , where γ^* is the ordinal product $\kappa_n \cdot \kappa_{n-1} \cdots \kappa_1$ and elaborate on the previous idea. □

Ultrapowers

Let κ a measurable and let $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\kappa)$ be a κ -complete ultrafilter. Let \mathbb{P} be a p.o. Then $\mathbb{P}^\kappa/\mathcal{D}$ consists of all equivalence classes

$$[f] = \{g \in {}^\kappa\mathbb{P} : \{\alpha \in \kappa : f(\alpha) = g(\alpha)\} \in \mathcal{D}\}$$

and is supplied with the p.o. relation $[f] \leq [g]$ iff

$$\{\alpha \in \kappa : f(\alpha) \leq_{\mathbb{P}} g(\alpha)\} \in \mathcal{D}.$$

We can identify each $p \in \mathbb{P}$ with

$$[p] = [f_p],$$

where $f_p(\alpha) = p$ for each $\alpha \in \kappa$ and so we can assume $\mathbb{P} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^\kappa/\mathcal{D}$.

Lemma

- 1 If \mathbb{P} is ccc, then $\mathbb{P} \dot{\leq} \mathbb{P}^\kappa / \mathcal{D}$.
- 2 If \mathbb{P} has the countable chain condition, then so does $\mathbb{P}^\kappa / \mathcal{D}$.

Lemma

If \mathcal{A} be a \mathbb{P} -name for an independent family of cardinality $\geq \kappa$. Then

$$\Vdash_{\mathbb{P}^\kappa / \mathcal{D}} \mathcal{A} \text{ is not maximal.}$$

Theorem (F., Shelah, 2019)

Let $\kappa_1 < \kappa_2 < \dots < \kappa_n$ be measurable witnessed by κ_j -complete ultrafilters $\mathcal{D}_j \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\kappa_j)$. There is a ccc generic extension in which

$$\{\kappa_j\}_{j=1}^n = \text{sp}(i).$$

Proof/Idea:

Let $\gamma^* = \kappa_n \cdot \kappa_{n-1} \cdots \kappa_1$ and for each $j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ fix $\mathcal{I}_j \subseteq \gamma^*$ unbounded, of cardinality κ_j . Along each \mathcal{I}_j

- iteratively generate a max. ind. family of cardinality κ_j , and
- for unboundedly many $\alpha \in \mathcal{I}_j$ take the ultrapower $\mathbb{P}_\alpha^{\kappa_j} / \mathcal{D}_j$.



Proof:

More precisely, take $\mathcal{I}_j \subseteq \gamma^*$ for $j = 1, \dots, n$ so that:

- \mathcal{I}_j consists of successor ordinals, $|\mathcal{I}_j| = \kappa_j$
- $\mathcal{I}_j \cap \text{Even}$ and $\mathcal{I}_j \cap \text{Odd}$ are unbounded in γ^* , and
- $\{\mathcal{I}_j\}_{j=1}^n$ are pairwise disjoint.

Define a finite support iteration of length γ^* as follows. Fix $\alpha < \gamma$ and suppose for each $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ a sequence of reals

$$\langle r_\gamma^k : \gamma \in \mathcal{I}_k \cap \text{Even}, \gamma < \alpha \rangle$$

has been defined such that

- $\mathcal{A}_\alpha^k = \bigcup \{r_\gamma^k : \gamma \in \mathcal{I}_k \cap \text{Even} \cap \alpha\}$ is independent, and
- for each $\gamma \in \mathcal{I}_k \cap \text{Even}$, r_γ^k diagonalizes \mathcal{A}_γ^k over $V^{\mathbb{P}_\gamma}$.

Proof (cnt'd):

Proceed as follows.

- 1 If $\alpha \in \mathcal{I}_k \cap \text{Even}$ for some $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ then
 - choose an \mathcal{A}_α^k -diagonalizing filter \mathcal{U}_α in $V^{\mathbb{P}_\alpha}$,
 - take \dot{Q}_α to be a \mathbb{P}_α -name for $\text{MI}(\mathcal{U}_\alpha)$, and
 - r_α^k to be the associated Mathias generic real.

- 2 If $\alpha \in \mathcal{I}_k \cap \text{Odd}$ for some $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, then
 - $\alpha = \beta + 1$ and so we take
 - \dot{Q}_α to be a \mathbb{P}_β -name for the quotient of $\mathbb{P}_\beta^{k_k} / \mathcal{D}_k$ and \mathbb{P}_β .
 - Thus, in particular $\mathbb{P}_\alpha = \mathbb{P}_\beta * \dot{Q}_\alpha$.

- 3 If $\alpha \notin \bigcup_{k=1}^n \mathcal{I}_k$ take \dot{Q}_α to be a \mathbb{P}_α -name for the Cohen poset. \square

Question:

- Can we have a precise evaluation of the spectrum, without the assumption of measurables?
- Can we adjoin via forcing a maximal independent family of cardinality \aleph_ω ?

Lemma

Let \mathcal{A} be an independent family, \mathcal{U} a \mathcal{A} -diagonalization filter. Let $n > 1$ and for each $i \in n$ let $\mathcal{U}_i = \mathcal{U}$. Let

$$G = \prod_{i \in n} G_i \text{ be } \mathbb{P} = \prod_{i \in n} \mathbb{M}(\mathcal{U}_i)\text{-generic filter}$$

and for each $i \in n$ let $x_i = x_{G_i}$. Then in $V[G]$:

- 1 $\mathcal{A} \cup \{x_i\}_{i \in n}$ is independent;
- 2 if $y \in (V \setminus \mathcal{A}) \cap [\omega]^\omega$ be such that

$$\mathcal{A} \cup \{y\} \text{ is independent,}$$

then for each $i \in n$, the family $\mathcal{A} \cup \{y, x_i\}$ is not independent.

Proof

Item (2) holds, since each x_i is a diagonalization real.

To prove item (1):

- fix $h \in \text{FF}(\mathcal{A})$ and an arbitrary $j : n \rightarrow 2$;
- for each $n \in \omega$, we will show that the set

$$D_{h,j,n} = \{ \langle (t_i, H_i) \rangle_{i \in n} : \exists i^* > n (i^* \in \bigcap_{i \in n} t_i^{j(i)} \cap \mathcal{A}^h) \}$$

is dense in \mathbb{P} , where $t_i^0 = t$, $t_i^1 = \min H_i \setminus t_i$. Thus, if $p \in D_{h,j,n}$ then

$$p \Vdash i^* \in \bigcap_{i \in n} x_i^{j(i)} \cap \mathcal{A}^h,$$

where $x_i^0 = x_i$ and $x_i^1 = \omega \setminus x_i$.

Proof cont'd:

- Let $\bar{p} = \langle (s_i, F_i) \rangle_{i \in n} \in \mathbb{P}$. Let $I = \{i \in n : j(i) = 0\}$ and $J = n \setminus I$.
- Thus, for each $i \in I$, $s_i^{j(i)} = s_i$ and for each $i \in J$, $s_i^{j(i)} = \omega \setminus s_i$.
- Since \mathcal{U} is \mathcal{A} -diagonalization,

$$\bigcap_{i \in I} F_i \cap \mathcal{A}^h$$

is infinite and so there is

$$i^* \in \bigcap_{i \in I} F_i \cap \mathcal{A}^h,$$

which is strictly bigger than n and the maximum of s_i for all $i \in n$.

Proof cnt'd:

Then:

- ① if $i \in I$, $(s_i \cup \{i^*\}, F_i \setminus (i^* + 1)) \leq (s_i, F_i)$ and forces $i^* \in x_i \cap \mathcal{A}^h$;
- ② if $i \in J$, $(s_i, F_i \setminus (i^* + 1)) \leq (s_i, F_i)$ and forces $i^* \in (\omega \setminus x_i) \cap \mathcal{A}^h$.

Let $\bar{q} = \langle q_i \rangle_{i \in n}$ where

$$q_i = (s_i \cup \{i^*\}, F_i \setminus (i^* + 1)) \text{ for } i \in I, \quad q_i = (s_i, F_i \setminus (i^* + 1)) \text{ for } i \in J.$$

Then $\bar{q} \leq \bar{p}$ and $\bar{q} \in D_{h,j,n}$. In particular,

$$\bar{q} \Vdash i^* \in \bigcap_{i \in n} x_i^{j(i)} \cap \mathcal{A}^h.$$



Proof cnt'd:

Then:

- ① if $i \in I$, $(s_i \cup \{i^*\}, F_i \setminus (i^* + 1)) \leq (s_i, F_i)$ and forces $i^* \in x_i \cap \mathcal{A}^h$;
- ② if $i \in J$, $(s_i, F_i \setminus (i^* + 1)) \leq (s_i, F_i)$ and forces $i^* \in (\omega \setminus x_i) \cap \mathcal{A}^h$.

Let $\bar{q} = \langle q_i \rangle_{i \in n}$ where

$$q_i = (s_i \cup \{i^*\}, F_i \setminus (i^* + 1)) \text{ for } i \in I, \quad q_i = (s_i, F_i \setminus (i^* + 1)) \text{ for } i \in J.$$

Then $\bar{q} \leq \bar{p}$ and $\bar{q} \in D_{h,j,n}$. In particular,

$$\bar{q} \Vdash i^* \in \bigcap_{i \in n} x_i^{j(i)} \cap \mathcal{A}^h.$$



Theorem (F., Shelah)

(GCH) Let θ be an uncountable cardinal. Then, there is a ccc poset, which adjoins a maximal independent family of cardinality θ .

Remark

In particular, there is a ccc poset adjoining a maximal independent family of cardinality \aleph_ω .

Definition

Fix $\sigma \leq \theta \leq \lambda$, where:

- σ is regular uncountable (the intended value of i),
- λ is of uncountable cofinality (the intended value of c).
- Let $S \subseteq \theta^{<\sigma}$ be a well-pruned θ -splitting tree of height σ .
- For each $\alpha < \sigma$, let S_α be the α -th splitting level of S .

Recursively define a finite support iteration

$$\mathbb{P}_S = \langle \mathbb{P}_\alpha, \dot{Q}_\alpha : \alpha \leq \sigma, \beta < \sigma \rangle$$

of length σ such that for each α , in $V^{\mathbb{P}_\alpha}$ we have

$$Q_\alpha = \prod_{\eta \in S_\alpha} Q_\eta$$

where Q_η is Mathias forcing for an appropriate diagonalization filter.

More precisely:

- Let $\mathbb{P}_0 = \{\emptyset\}$, \dot{Q}_0 be a \mathbb{P}_0 -name for the trivial poset.
- Let $\mathcal{A}_0 = \emptyset$ and let \mathcal{U}_0 be an arbitrary ultrafilter extending the Fréchet filter. Thus \mathcal{U}_0 is \mathcal{A}_0 -diagonalizing.
- For each $\eta \in S_1 = \text{succ}_S(\emptyset)$, let $\mathcal{U}_\eta = \mathcal{U}_0$ and let

$$Q_1 = \prod_{\eta \in S_1} M(\mathcal{U}_\eta)$$

with finite supports.

- In $V^{\mathbb{P}_1 * \dot{Q}_1}$ for each $\eta \in S_1$ let a_η be the $M(\mathcal{U}_\eta)$ -generic real.

- Suppose $\alpha \geq 2$ and in $V^{\mathbb{P}_\alpha}$ for all $\eta \in S_\alpha$,

$$\mathcal{A}_\eta = \{a_\nu : \nu \in \text{succ}_S(\eta \upharpoonright \xi), \xi < \alpha\}$$

is independent.

- For each $\eta \in S_\alpha$, let \mathcal{U}_η be a \mathcal{A}_η -diagonalization filter and let

$$\mathbb{Q}_\alpha = \prod_{\eta \in S_\alpha} \mathbb{M}(\mathcal{U}_\eta)$$

with finite supports.

- In $V^{\mathbb{P}_\alpha * \dot{\mathbb{Q}}_\alpha}$ for each $\eta \in S_\alpha$ let a_η be the $\mathbb{M}(\mathcal{U}_\eta)$ -generic real.

Lemma

In $V^{\mathbb{P}_S}$ for each branch $\eta \in [S]$ the family

$$\mathcal{A}_\eta = \{a_v : v \in \text{succ}(\eta \upharpoonright \xi), \xi < \alpha\}$$

is a maximal independent family of cardinality θ .

Proof:

Maximality follows from the diagonalization properties and the fact that the length of the iteration is of uncountable cofinality. □

Corollary (F., Shelah)

There is a ccc forcing notion adjoining a maximal independent family \mathcal{A} such that

$$|\mathcal{A}| = \aleph_\omega.$$

Proof:

Use an \aleph_ω -splitting tree of height ω_1 . □

Theorem (F., Shelah, 2022)

Assume GCH. Let σ be a regular uncountable cardinal, λ a cardinal of uncountable cofinality such that $\sigma \leq \lambda$. Let

$$\Theta_1 \subseteq [\sigma, \lambda]$$

be such that

$$\sigma = \min \Theta_1, \max \Theta_1 = \lambda.$$

Then there is a ccc generic extension in which

$$\Theta_1 \subseteq \mathfrak{sp}(i).$$

Proof:

Let $\mathbf{m} = \langle S_\theta : \theta \in \Theta_1 \rangle$ be a sequence of pairwise disjoint trees such that for each $\theta \in \Theta_1$, S_θ is a θ -splitting tree of height σ .

Let $\alpha < \sigma$.

- For each $\theta \in \Theta_1$ let $S_{\theta,\alpha}$ denote the α -th splitting level of S_θ and
- Let $S_{\mathbf{m},\alpha} = \bigcup_{\theta \in \Theta_1} S_{\theta,\alpha}$.

Proof cnt'd:

We will define a finite support iteration

$$\mathbb{P}_m = \langle \mathbb{P}_\alpha, \dot{Q}_\beta : \alpha \leq \sigma, \beta < \sigma \rangle$$

where for each $\beta < \sigma$ in $V^{\mathbb{P}_\beta}$,

$$Q_\beta = \prod_{\eta \in S_{m,\beta}} Q_\eta$$

with finite supports and Q_η is Mathias forcing for an appropriate diagonalization filter adjoining a diagonalization real a_η .

More precisely:

- Let $\mathbb{P}_0 = \{\emptyset\}$, \dot{Q}_0 be a \mathbb{P}_0 -name for the trivial poset.
- Let $\mathcal{A}_0 = \emptyset$ and let \mathcal{U}_0 be an arbitrary ultrafilter extending the Fréchet filter. Thus \mathcal{U}_0 is \mathcal{A}_0 -diagonalizing.
- For each $\eta \in S_{m,1}$ let $\mathcal{U}_\eta = \mathcal{U}_0$ and let

$$Q_1 = \prod_{\eta \in S_{m,1}} M(\mathcal{U}_\eta)$$

with finite supports.

- In $V^{\mathbb{P}_1 * \dot{Q}_1}$ for each $\eta \in S_{m,1}$ let a_η be the $M(\mathcal{U}_\eta)$ -generic real.

Suppose $\alpha \geq 2$, $\theta \in \Theta_1$, $\eta \in S_{\theta, \alpha}$ and

$\Vdash_{\mathbb{P}^\alpha} \mathcal{A}_\eta = \{a_v : v \in \text{succ}_{S_\theta}(\eta \upharpoonright \xi), \xi < \alpha\}$ is independent.

Then in $V^{\mathbb{P}^\alpha}$, take \mathcal{U}_η to be a \mathcal{A}_η -diagonalization filter and

$$Q_\eta = \text{M}(\mathcal{U}_\eta).$$

With this the definition of the forcing notion is complete.

Lemma

In $V^{\mathbb{P}_m}$ for each branch $\eta \in [S_\theta] = S_{\theta,\sigma}$, $\theta \in \Theta_1$ the family

$$\mathcal{A}_\eta = \{a_v : v \in \text{succ}_{S_\theta}(\eta \upharpoonright \xi), \xi < \sigma\}$$

is maximal independent of cardinality θ . Thus,

$$V^{\mathbb{P}_m} \models \Theta_1 \subseteq \text{sp}(i).$$

Proof:

Diagonalization. □

Theorem (F., Shelah)

- For any finite set $C \subseteq \{\aleph_n\}_{n \in \omega \setminus 1}$, consistently

$$\text{sp}(i) = C.$$

- For any infinite $C \subseteq \{\aleph_n\}_{n \in \omega \setminus 1}$ and $\lambda > \aleph_\omega$ of uncountable cofinality, consistently

$$\text{sp}(i) = C \cup \{\aleph_{\omega, \mathfrak{c}} = \lambda\}.$$

Comment

Excluding values is an isomorphism of names argument, essentially a counting argument, relying on specific properties of the forcing construction.

Question:

- Is it consistent that $i = \aleph_\omega$?
- Is $\text{sp}(i)$ closed with respect to singular limits of countable cofinality?

... and once again Maximality

$\forall X \in [\omega]^\omega \setminus \mathcal{A} \exists h \in \text{FF}(\mathcal{A})$ such that $\mathcal{A}^h \cap X$ or $\mathcal{A}^h \setminus X$ is finite.

Dense maximality

Let \mathcal{A} be an independent family. Then \mathcal{A} is said to be densely maximal if for each $X \in [\omega]^\omega \setminus \mathcal{A}$ and every $h \in \text{FF}(\mathcal{A})$ there is $h' \in \text{FF}(\mathcal{A})$ such that $h' \supseteq h$ and $\mathcal{A}^{h'} \cap X$ or $\mathcal{A}^{h'} \setminus X$ is finite.

Remark

Thus, \mathcal{A} is densely maximal if for each $X \in [\omega]^\omega \setminus \mathcal{A}$ the set of $h \in \text{FF}(\mathcal{A})$ such that X does not split \mathcal{A} is dense in $\text{FF}(\mathcal{A})$.

Density filter

Let \mathcal{A} be an independent family. Then

$$\text{fil}(\mathcal{A}) = \{Y \in [\omega]^\omega : \forall h \in \text{FF}(\mathcal{A}) \exists h' \in \text{FF}(\mathcal{A}) \text{ s.t. } h' \supseteq h \text{ and } \mathcal{A}^{h'} \subseteq Y\}$$

is referred to as the density filter of \mathcal{A} .

Lemma

A family $\mathcal{A} \subseteq [\omega]^\omega$ is densely maximal if and only if

$$P(\omega) = \text{fil}(\mathcal{A}) \cup \langle \omega \setminus \mathcal{A}^g \mid g \in \text{FF}(\mathcal{A}) \rangle_{\text{dn}}.$$

Definition: Ramsey filter

A p -filter \mathcal{F} is said to be Ramsey if for every partition $\mathcal{E} = \{E_n\}_{n \in \omega}$ of ω into finite sets, there is a set C in \mathcal{F} such that $|C \cap E_n| \leq 1$ for each n .

Definition: Selective independence

A densely maximal independent family \mathcal{A} such that $\text{fil}(\mathcal{A})$ is Ramsey is said to be selective.

Theorem (Shelah)

- Selective independent families exists under CH .
- They are indestructible by a countable support iterations and countable support products of Sacks forcing.

Corollary

It is consistent that $i < c$.

Countable approximations

Definition (F., Montoya, 2019)

Let \mathbb{P} be the partial order

- of all pairs (\mathcal{A}, A) where \mathcal{A} is a countable independent family and $A \in [\omega]^\omega$ such that for all $h \in \text{FF}(\mathcal{A})$ the set $\mathcal{A}^h \cap A$ is infinite;
- with extension relation defined as follows

$$(\mathcal{B}, B) \leq (\mathcal{A}, A) \text{ iff } \mathcal{B} \supseteq \mathcal{A} \text{ and } B \subseteq^* A.$$

Lemma (CH)

\mathbb{P} is countably closed and \aleph_2 -cc.

Proof

- Let $\{(\mathcal{A}_i, A_i)\}_{i \in \omega}$ be a decreasing chain in \mathbb{P} . Then $\mathcal{A} = \bigcup_{i \in \omega} \mathcal{A}_i$ is a countable independent family.
- Inductively one can construct a pseudointersection A of $\{A_i\}_{i \in \omega}$ such that $A \cap \mathcal{A}^h$ is unbounded for each $h \in \text{FF}(\mathcal{A})$.
- Note that there are only \aleph_1 options for a second coordinate and only \aleph_1 options for a first coordinate. □

Lemma (CH)

Let G is \mathbb{P} -generic. Then $\mathcal{A}_G = \bigcup \{ \mathcal{A} : \exists A(\mathcal{A}, A) \in G \}$ is a selective independent family.

Remark

- \mathcal{A}_G is densely maximal;
- $\mathcal{F}_G = \{ A : \exists \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{A}, A) \in G \}$ is a Ramsey set;
- $\text{fil}(\mathcal{A}_G)$ is generated by \mathcal{F}_G and so
- $\text{fil}(\mathcal{A})$ is Ramsey filter.

Indestructibility

Let \mathcal{A} be a selective independent family. Then \mathcal{A} remains **selective** after forcing with the countable support iteration of any of:

- (Shelah, 1989) Shelah's poset for diagonalizing a maximal ideal,
- (Cruz-Chapital, F., Guzman, Supina, 2020) Miller partition forcing,
- (J. Bergfalk, F., C. Switzer, 2021) Coding with perfect trees,
- (Switzer, 2022) h -perfect trees,
- (F., Switzer, 2023) Miller lite forcing,

leading in particular to the consistency of each of the following

$$i < u, u = a = i < a_T, i = u < \text{cof}(\mathcal{N}) = \text{non}(\mathcal{N}), i = \mathfrak{hm} < \mathfrak{l}_{n,\omega}.$$

Definition

A poset \mathbb{P} is **Cohen preserving** if every every new dense open subset of $2^{<\omega}$ (or, equivalently $\omega^{<\omega}$) contains an old dense subset.

Remark

More formally, \mathbb{P} is Cohen preserving if for all $p \in \mathbb{P}$ and all \mathbb{P} -names \dot{D} so that

$$p \Vdash \text{“}\dot{D} \subseteq 2^{<\omega} \text{ is dense open”}$$

there is a dense $E \subseteq 2^{<\omega}$ in the ground model, $q \leq_{\mathbb{P}} p$ so that

$$q \Vdash \check{E} \subseteq \dot{D}.$$

Theorem (Shelah)

If δ is an ordinal and $\langle \mathbb{P}_\alpha, \dot{Q}_\beta \mid \alpha \leq \delta, \beta < \delta \rangle$ is a countable support iteration such that for each $\alpha < \delta$

$$\Vdash_\alpha \text{“}\dot{Q}_\alpha \text{ is proper and Cohen preserving”}$$

then \mathbb{P}_δ is proper and Cohen preserving.

Lemma

If \mathbb{P} is Cohen preserving and proper, then \mathbb{P} is ω^ω -bounding.

Theorem

Let δ be an ordinal. Let \mathcal{A} be a selective independent family and let $\langle \mathbb{P}_\alpha \dot{Q}_\alpha \mid \alpha < \delta \rangle$ be a countable support iteration of proper forcing notions so that for every $\alpha < \delta$,

$$\Vdash_\alpha \text{“}\dot{Q}_\alpha \text{ is Cohen preserving”}.$$

If for every $\alpha < \delta$,

$$\Vdash_\alpha \text{“}\dot{Q}_\alpha \text{ preserves the dense maximality of } \mathcal{A}\text{”}$$

then \mathbb{P}_δ preserves the selectivity of \mathcal{A} .

Genericity

Theorem (F., Montoya 2019; F., Switzer 2023)

Let \mathcal{A} be an independent family. Then \mathcal{A} is densely maximal iff $\text{fil}(\mathcal{A})$ is the unique diagonalization filter.

Proof

- (F., Montoya) If \mathcal{A} is densely maximal then $\text{fil}(\mathcal{A})$ is the unique diagonalization filter.
- (F., Switzer) If $\text{fil}(\mathcal{A})$ is the unique diagonalization filter, then \mathcal{A} is densely maximal.

Genericity

Theorem (F., Switzer, 2023)

The **generic maximal independent family** added by an iteration of Mathias forcing relativized to diagonalization filters is **selective**.

Genericity

Theorem (F., Switzer, 2023)

(GCH) Let $\kappa < \lambda$ be regular uncountable. It is consistent that

$$i = \kappa < \mathfrak{c} = \lambda$$

holds with a selective witness to i .

The above holds, in fact, for $\kappa < \lambda$ with $\text{cf}(\kappa) > \omega$ and $\text{cf}(\lambda) > \kappa$.

Definition

Let κ be a regular uncountable cardinal, $\mathcal{A} \subseteq [\kappa]^\kappa$.

- Let $\text{FF}_{<\omega, \kappa}(\mathcal{A})$ be the set of all finite partial functions with domain included in \mathcal{A} and range the set $\{0, 1\}$.
- For each $h \in \text{FF}_{<\omega, \kappa}(\mathcal{A})$ let $\mathcal{A}^h = \bigcap \{A^{h(A)} : A \in \text{dom}(h)\}$ where $A^{h(A)} = A$ if $h(A) = 0$ and $A^{h(A)} = \kappa \setminus A$ if $h(A) = 1$.

Definition

- 1 A family $\mathcal{A} \subseteq [\kappa]^\kappa$ is said to be κ -independent if for each $h \in \text{FF}_{<\omega, \kappa}(\mathcal{A})$, \mathcal{A}^h is unbounded.
- 2 It is maximal κ -independent family if it is κ -independent, maximal under inclusion.
- 3 The least size of a maximal κ -independent family is denoted $i(\kappa)$.

Lemma (F., Montoya)

Let κ be a regular infinite cardinal.

- 1 There is a maximal κ -independent family of cardinality 2^κ .
- 2 $\kappa^+ \leq i(\kappa) \leq 2^\kappa$
- 3 $\tau(\kappa) \leq i(\kappa)$
- 4 $\partial(\kappa) \leq i(\kappa)$.

Corollary

If κ is regular uncountable, then if $i(\kappa) = \kappa^+$ also $\alpha(\kappa) = \kappa^+$.

Definition: κ -dense maximality

A κ -independent family \mathcal{A} is densely maximal if for every $X \in [\kappa]^\kappa \setminus \mathcal{A}$ and every $h \in \text{FF}_{<\omega, \kappa}(\mathcal{A})$ there is $h' \in \text{FF}_{<\omega, \kappa}(\mathcal{A})$ such that $h' \supseteq h$ and

$$\text{either } \mathcal{A}^{h'} \cap X = \emptyset \text{ or } \mathcal{A}^{h'} \cap (\kappa \setminus X) = \emptyset.$$

Question

Are there κ -densely maximal independent families?

Definition (F., Montoya)

Let κ be a measurable cardinal and \mathcal{U} a normal measure on κ . Let $\mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{U}}$ be the poset of all pairs (\mathcal{A}, A) where

- \mathcal{A} is a κ -independent family of cardinality κ ,
- $A \in \mathcal{U}$ is such that $\forall h \in \text{FF}_{<\omega, \kappa}(\mathcal{A})$, $\mathcal{A}^h \cap A$ is unbounded.

The extension relation is defined as follows: $(\mathcal{A}_1, A_1) \leq (\mathcal{A}_0, A_0)$ iff $\mathcal{A}_1 \supseteq \mathcal{A}_0$ and $A_1 \subseteq^* A_0$.

Lemma

Assume $2^\kappa = \kappa^+$. Then $\mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{U}}$ is κ^+ -closed and κ^{++} -cc.

Proof

- Let $\{(\mathcal{A}_i, A_i)\}_{i \in \kappa}$ be a decreasing sequence in $\mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{U}}$.
- We can assume that $\{A_i\}_{i \in \kappa}$ is strictly decreasing, i.e for each $i > j$ we have $A_j \subseteq A_i$.
- Then $\mathcal{A} = \bigcup_{i \in \kappa} \mathcal{A}_i$ is an independent family of cardinality κ and the diagonal intersection $A' = \Delta_{i \in \kappa} A_i \in \mathcal{U}$.
- Recursively we can define a set A'' which is a pseudo-intersection of $\{A_i\}_{i \in \kappa}$ and which meets every \mathcal{A}^h on an unbounded set.
- Then $A = A' \cup A''$ is an element of \mathcal{U} and so
- $(\mathcal{A}, A) \in \mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{U}}$ is a common extension of $\{(\mathcal{A}_i, A_i)\}_{i \in \kappa}$.

$\mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{U}}$ is κ^{++} -cc, because $|\mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{U}}| = \kappa^+$. □

Lemma (F., Montoya)

Assume $2^\kappa = \kappa^+$, κ is measurable and \mathcal{U} is a normal measure on κ . Let G be a $\mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{U}}$ -generic filter. The

$$\mathcal{A}_G = \bigcup \{ \mathcal{A} : \exists A \in \mathcal{U} \text{ with } (\mathcal{A}, A) \in G \}$$

is a densely maximal κ -independent family.

Remark: Density filter

Let $\text{fil}_{<\omega, \kappa}(\mathcal{A}_G)$ be the filter of all $X \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $\forall h \in \text{FF}_{<\omega, \kappa}(\mathcal{A}_G)$ there is $h' \in \text{FF}_{<\omega, \kappa}(\mathcal{A}_G)$ such that $h' \supseteq h$ and $\mathcal{A}^{h'} \subseteq X$. Then:

- Every $\mathcal{H} \in [\text{fil}_{<\omega, \kappa}(\mathcal{A}_G)]^{\leq \kappa}$ has a pseudo-intersection in $\text{fil}_{<\omega, \kappa}(\mathcal{A}_G)$.
- If $f \in V \cap {}^\kappa \kappa$ is strictly increasing, then $\exists a \in \text{fil}_{<\omega, \kappa}(\mathcal{A}_G)$ such that

$$f(a(i)) < a(i+1)$$

for all $i \in \kappa$, where $\{a(i)\}_{i \in \kappa}$ is the increasing enumeration of a .

Theorem (F., Montoya)

(GCH) Let κ be a measurable cardinal and let \mathcal{U} be a normal measure on κ . The generic maximal independent family \mathcal{A}_G adjoined by $\mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{U}}$ remains maximal after the κ -support product $\mathbb{S}_{\kappa}^{\lambda}$.

Corollary

Let κ be a measurable cardinal. There is a cardinal preserving generic extension in which

$$a(\kappa) = \mathfrak{d}(\kappa) = \mathfrak{r}(\kappa) = \mathfrak{i}(\kappa) = \kappa^+ < 2^\kappa.$$

Thank you for your attention!